derived no benefit in return for their labour. When the raiyats did not stand to reap any benefit from the tanks, he asked what justification there was to compel them to contribute their labour for the maintenance of the tanks.

Mr. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI replied that the responsibility for maintenance would be enforced only if the raiyats derived some benefit whether directly or indirectly. But if they did not get any benefit at all, in such cases, the provisions of Section 35 of the Regulation would not be enforced on them. The position in this respect, he said, would be made clear by amending the rules and issuing a circular if found necessary. In the light of these explanations, he hoped that the measure would commend itself to the acceptance of the House.

The general principles of the measure were thereupon put to vote and carried.

The House then rose for the day to meet again at 12 of the clock on the following day.

SECOND DAY.

Tuesday, 27th October 1936.

The House reassembled at the Jagan Mohan Palace Pavilion on Tuesday, 27th October 1936, at 12 of the clock, *Rajamantrapravina* S. P. Rajagopalachari, First Member of Council, in the Chair.

Felicitations.

At the commencement of the proceedings, **Khan Bahadur MAHOMED ABBAS KHAN** felicitated Mr. N. Madhava Rau, Second Member of Council, and Mr. S. Shamanna, Financial Secretary to Government, on their being the recipients of the titles "Rajamantrapravina" and "Rajasevanipuna" respectively, at the hands of His Highness the Maharaja, at the Durbar held the previous night.

Mr. Belur SRINIVASIENGAR, in congratulating the recipients of the titles, said that he prayed to God that these officers might be spared for long to render uninterrupted meritorious service to the State and thereby become entitled in a greater measure to the esteem and regard of the public.

Messrs. H. B. GUNDAPPA, JATHARE NAIK and **P. SUBBARAMA CHETTY** also joined with the previous speakers in expressing their appreciation.

Mr. L. VEMA REDDY added that the feelings of gratefulness of the Assembly might be conveyed to His Highness the Maharaja for having conferred upon the two officers the titles which were well deserved.

On behalf of the Assembly, **Mr. V. VENKATAPPA** thanked the Government and through them the gracious Ruler for having extended to the Adikarnatakas, for the first time in the annals of Mysore, the privilege of attending the Durbar held in the palace, and expressed the hope that this step would be the forerunner of several other enlightened social reforms.

The SECOND MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina N. Madhava Rau) thanked the members for their kind sentiments. He said he had had the privilege of taking part in the proceedings of the Assembly for the past ten years and from his experience, he had no hesitation in saying that there was hardly any difference in general outlook between them and the non-officials in essential matters. Differences, if any, were only on the surface. He considered it a happy feature of the Assembly that both officials and non-officials associated with it were working harmoniously in the larger interests of the State.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS—

(*contd.*).

Sale of Lands for Arrears of Assessment.

Q.—11. **Mr. H. K. MADIAH** (Malavalli Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) who are the *khatedars* of S. No. 151-1, 220 and 221 of Purigali Sarvamanya village, Boppagowdanpura Hobli, Malavalli Taluk;

(*b*) are these numbers in the enjoyment of the *khatedars* or are there any

anubhavadars; what is the nature of the *anubhava* now;

(*c*) is it a fact that these lands have been sold in pursuance of civil court decree for arrears of kandayam;

(*d*) were the *anubhavadars* informed of the arrears due before the lands were put up for sale;

(*e*) has it come to the notice of the Government that these *anubhavadars* have no means of livelihood other than these lands?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*) Mada formerly, and now the *khate* stands in the name of Sri Ranganathaswami, Sivasamudram, as per civil court decree.

(*b*) *Khatedar* Mada was not the enjoyer of the lands. Persons other than the *khatedar* were enjoying the lands.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Summons have been served on the *anubhavadars* through the civil court before sale. *Anubhavadars* were made parties to the suit. Decree was given after hearing all the *anubhavadars*.

(*e*) *Anubhavadars* are reported to possess some other lands both in this village and in the neighbouring village of Somanahalli.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Since how long is the Purigali Sarvamanya village under Government management?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Are the Government aware that the inamdar refused to give back the lands to the raiyats when they represented to him that they would pay the arrears due by them?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No such cases have come to the notice of Government.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—With reference to answer (*e*), are not some families who were already poor now rendered helpless?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—That question does not arise.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Will the Government at least enquire whether they are poor and whether they are not left in a destitute condition being deprived of the lands which were the only means of their livelihood?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No one can prevent difficulties arising out of the default in payment of land revenue dues. Even if an enquiry is made, is it at all possible not to execute the decrees?

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—Will the Government at least see that the lands are given back to them if they paid the decretal amounts?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—The parties may represent to the revenue authorities concerned in that matter.

Mr. Rangadhamiah's Nomination to Mysore City Municipal Council.

Q.—12. **Messrs. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA** (Nagamangala Taluk); **T. MARIYAPPA** (Mysore City Municipality); **B. CHAMIAH** (Seringapatam Taluk); **B. S. PUTTASWAMY** (Nanjangud Taluk) and **SIVANANJE GOWDA** (Mysore Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) is it a fact that Mr. Rangadhamiah has been nominated as a member to the Mysore City Municipality in a vacancy;

(*b*) has it come to the notice of Government that Mr. Rangadhamiah has been a school master under the Mysore City Local Education Authority;

(*c*) will the Government be pleased to place on the table the order of Government nominating him;

(*d*) did not Government re-nominate Mr. Valivulla Saheb who had ceased to be a municipal councillor before this?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes, after he was nominated.

(*c*) *Vide* Notification No. L. 299, dated 10-7-1936, in the *Gazette* dated 16-7-36 (Page 381, Part III—3).

(*d*) Yes.

Mr. B. S. PUTTASWAMY.—How did the Government come to know that Mr. Rangadhamiah was the head master of a middle school?